



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/037,916	03/10/98	NISHIO	N P8287-8002

LM71/1108

NIKAIDO MARMELSTEIN MURRAY & GRAM
METROPOLITAN SQUARE
SUITE 330 G STREET LOBBY
655 FIFTEENTH STREET N W
WASHINGTON DC 20005-5701

EXAMINER

MCCARTY, W

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2761

DATE MAILED: 11/08/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.
09/037,916

Applicant(s)
Nobuhiko Nishio And Izumi Asoh

Examiner
McCarty

Group Art Unit
2761



☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 16, 1999

☒ This action is **FINAL**.

☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, **prosecution as to the merits is closed** in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claim

- ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.
- ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

- ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
- ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.
- ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
- ☐ All ☐ Some* ☒ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been
- ☐ received.
- ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____
- ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____

- ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

- ☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____
- ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

— SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES —

Art Unit: 2761

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is responsive to Applicants' filing of a Response under 37 C.F.R. 1.111 on August 16, 1999 (Paper No. 8) pertaining to application **09/037,916** filed March 10, 1998, having a priority date of October 1, 1997. No amendments were made to the claims of record therein.

Response to Arguments

1. The Applicants' arguments filed August 16, 1999 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicants have traversed the Examiner's rejection of claims 8, 10, and 12 under 35 USC 102(b). As to the rejection of claim 8, the Applicants have argued that *Yoshida* fails to disclose "executing a payment process for a second purse when a payment command is received without any purse being specified and executing a payment process for a first purse when a payment command based on specification of a first purse is received." The Examiner disagrees with the Applicants' reading of *Yoshida* in this regard. *Yoshida* discloses an "account designation means for selecting and designating a desired account from the plurality," (col.4, lines 23-25). This language does not limit the disclosure to incidences of account selection through specification but, rather, suggests through its silence the allowance for the alternative, namely, selection of an account in absence of specification. The Examiner submits that it is obvious to

Art Unit: 2761

one of ordinary skill in the computer arts to provide a default for the occasion of a user failing to provide an account selection when executing the system. This capability is suggested through *Yoshida*'s handling of invalid account entries (col.5, lines 3-8) or accounts that lack the required funds for a particular transaction (col.3, lines 29-34) since the information provided by the user is checked against the existing account plurality and appropriate suggestions are offered therefrom and it is standard computing practice to provide for the null entry. Accordingly, the Applicants' position in this regard is found non-persuasive and the rejection of claim 8 is maintained, albeit under an obviousness standard. As to the rejection of claim 10, the Applicants argue that *Yoshida* fails to disclose a "mode switch for switching from said center account to a mode for payment in cash when specification of an arbitrary mode is not accepted in said acceptor within a preset period of time after insertion of said IC card is detected." On the contrary, *Yoshida* instructs the customer to select the number of an account that differs from the first account entered (col.11, lines 5-9) and by so doing effects the mode switch capability. Accordingly, the Applicants' position in this regard is found non-persuasive and the rejection of claim 10 is maintained. As to the rejection of claim 12, the Applicants argue that *Yoshida* fails to disclose that "when a code number is not received from said transaction apparatus, an amount of money for said second purse is outputting to said transaction apparatus." As described above in the discussion of claim 8, it is obvious to provide a default setting for the occurrence of a null entry. Accordingly, the Applicants' position in this regard is found non-persuasive and the rejection of claim 12 is maintained.

Art Unit: 2761

As to the rejection of claim 11, the Applicants argue that the combined references fail to disclose “using money in a second purse when the money in a first purse is insufficient.” As noted earlier, *Yoshida* addresses a lack of balance occurrence (col.3, lines 29-34) and prompts the user for an alternate selection. The Applicants’ limitation recited in claim 11 is obvious in light of this disclosure and, accordingly, the Applicants’ position is found non-persuasive and the rejection of claim 11 is maintained.

The Applicants’ arguments directed to the rejection of remaining claims 1-7 and 9 rely in large part upon arguments outlined above and are therefore similarly non-persuasive and their rejections maintained. This action is made final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 8, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Yoshida* (4,736,094).

As to **claim 8**:

Art Unit: 2761

Yoshida discloses an IC card (integrated circuit card, col. 2, lines 60-68) applicable to an electronic purse system (financial transaction processing system, col. 2, lines 60-68) having a double-structured purse (col. 6, lines 12-58, Figs. 1, 4) comprising: a memory (col. 6, lines 12-27, Fig. 1) in which a first purse with a first amount of money stored therein, a second purse with a second amount of money stored therein (col. 6, lines 51-58, Fig. 4) and a payment processing program are stored; a processor for executing payment processing according to the payment processing program stored in said memory (col. 3, lines 12-34); a communicating unit for executing communications with external devices (col. 6, lines 12-37, col. 7, lines 65-68, col. 8, lines 1-41, Figs. 1-2); wherein said payment program executes payment processing according to the second amount of money stored in said second purse when a payment command is received from an external payment demanding device without any purse being specified, and executes the payment processing to said external device according to the amount of money stored in said first purse when a payment command based on specification of said first purse is received (col. 3, lines 25-68, col. 4, lines 1-32).

As to **claim 10**:

Yoshida discloses an IC card transaction apparatus (integrated circuit card, col. 2, lines 60-68) for selecting either one of an amount of money stored in an IC card and an amount of money stored in a center account and executing payment in cash according to the selected amount of money comprising: a detector for detecting insertion of an IC card (col. 6, lines 12-37, col. 7, lines 65-68, col. 8, lines 1-41, Figs. 1-2); an acceptor for accepting specification of an arbitrary

Art Unit: 2761

mode after insertion of said IC card is detected by said detector (col. 6, lines 12-37, col. 7, lines 65-68, col. 8, lines 1-41, Figs. 1-2); and a mode switch for switching from said center account to a mode for payment in cash when specification of an arbitrary mode is not accepted in said acceptor within a preset period of time after insertion of said IC card is detected (col. 10, lines 45-68, col. 11, lines 1-34, col. 12, lines 64-68).

As to **claim 12**:

Yoshida discloses an IC card (integrated circuit card, col. 2, lines 60-68) applicable to an IC card transaction system, said card having a first purse and a second purse and used for transaction making use of the double-structured purse (col. 6, lines 12-58, Figs. 1, 4) consisting of said first purse and second purse with the transaction apparatus (col. 6, lines 51-58, Fig. 4); wherein, when a code number is received from the transaction apparatus, the processing for authorizing the individual is executed with said received code number and amounts of money to be stored in said first and second purses respectively are outputting to said transaction apparatus (col. 3, lines 25-68), and on the other hand, when a code number is not received from said transaction apparatus, an amount of money for said second purse is outputting to said transaction apparatus (col. 3, lines 25-68). The Examiner would like to point out that in Yoshida, if the first account or "purse" accessed does not have enough money for a payment, the second account is accessed and the transaction is completed, thus the authorization code is not given by the banking or other authority for the first account since there is a lack of funds, and then further goes to the second account.

Art Unit: 2761

4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshida (4,736,094) in view of Read (EFTPOS: electronic funds transfer at point of sale, *Electronics & Communication Engineering Journal*, November/December, 1989).

As to **claim 1**:

Yoshida discloses an electronic purse system (financial transaction processing system, col. 2, lines 60-68) having a double-structured purse comprising (col. 6, lines 12-58, Figs. 1, 4): a portable type of card-formed carrier body (col. 5, lines 63-68, col. 6, lines 1-27) comprising a first rewritable and non-volatile memory (col. 6, lines 12-27, Fig. 1) in turn having a first area in which a first deposited amount is stored and a second area in which a second deposited amount is stored (deposit, first area, second area, col. 6, lines 51-58, Fig. 4), and an I/O terminal for accessing information stored in said first and second non-volatile memories respectively (terminal, col. 6, lines 12-37, col. 7, lines 65-68, col. 8, lines 1-41, Figs 1-2); when accessing said first area, information is received from said I/O terminal, access is permitted if a code number included satisfies a prespecified relation, and when accessing said second area, access to said second area is permitted if an identification number indicating a type of accessible transaction apparatus (Fig. 8B-9B, col. 3, lines 35-59); a withdrawing machine for transferring all or a portion of a deposited amount to the first area of the memory in said card-formed carrier body, which identification information for the apparatus and a code number inputted by the card holder and transfers the information or number to said card-formed carrier body (col. 3, lines 35-68, col. 7,

Art Unit: 2761

lines 12-29, Fig. 6); an input unit for inputting a code number and a amount of money to be transferred from said first area to said second area in said card-formed carrier body (col. 3, lines 35-68); a transfer unit for supplying the code number, amount of money to be transferred, and identification information inputted by said input unit to said card-formed carrier body (col. 3, lines 35-68, Fig. 10A-10B); and a device in the user's side for transmitting an amount of money used and the apparatus information to use a deposited amount stored in the second area of said card-formed carrier body (col. 3, lines 35-68, col. 4, lines 1-32); wherein said card-formed carrier body updates said first area, when transfer is instructed by said transfer unit and said individual's authorization number is accepted, by subtracting a specified amount of money from the deposited amount stored in said first area, and also writes the specified amount of money in said second area, and allows, when an amount of money to be used is instructed from said device in the user's side and at the same time access to said second area is permitted according to said identification information, use of the instructed amount of money with said device in the user's side by subtracting said amount of money to be used (col. 3, lines 35-68, col. 4, lines 1-32). However, Yoshida does not specifically use ciphering and deciphering. Ciphering and deciphering of information is the equivalent as encrypting and decrypting data. Read discloses a means for encrypting data to be transmitted, and means for decrypting encrypted data which is received, thereby preventing interception or interference (encryption, decryption, Figs. 9-11, pg. 268, cols. 2-3, pg. 268, cols. 1-3, pg. 270, col. 1, ¶1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the encryption of Read in the system of

Art Unit: 2761

Yoshida as Cordonnier ("Smart cards: present and future applications and techniques", *Electronics & Communication Engineering Journal*, October, 1991) teaches the use of encryption in the integrated circuit card technology (Cordonnier, pg. 207, cols. 1-2).

As to claim 2:

Yoshida discloses an electronic purse system (financial transaction processing system, col. 2, lines 60-68) having a double-structured purse (col. 6, lines 12-58, Figs. 1, 4); wherein said card-formed carrier body has a program making said second area allow only the withdrawal processing stored in said second non-volatile memory (col. 6, lines 12-27, col. 9, lines 28-63, Fig. 1).

As to claim 3:

Yoshida discloses an electronic purse system (financial transaction processing system, col. 2, lines 60-68) having a double-structured purse (col. 6, lines 12-58, Figs. 1, 4); wherein said card-formed carrier body has further a third area in said first non-volatile memory (col. 6, lines 12-27, Fig. 1) with identification information for a device in the user's side and a code number each allowing access to said third area registered in said third area (col. 6, lines 38-58, Figs. 3-4), and a program for allowing addition or subtraction in said third area when identification information and a code number corresponding to information registered from said device in the user's side are inputted is stored in said second non-volatile memory (col. 6, lines 12-27, col. 7, lines 65-68, col. 8, lines 1-41, Figs. 1, 5-6).

Art Unit: 2761

5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshida (4,736,094) in view of Read (EFTPOS: electronic funds transfer at point of sale, *Electronics & Communication Engineering Journal*, November/December, 1989) and in further view of Lessin et al. (4,868,376) in view of Cordonnier ("Smart cards: present and future applications and techniques", *Electronics & Communication Engineering Journal*, October, 1991).

As to **claim 4**:

The reference of Yoshida in view of Read discloses all of the above identified limitations except the storing of historical information in an electronic purse system. However, Lessin et al. discloses an electronic purse system (see abstract) having a double-structured purse (col. 6, lines 12-58, Figs. 1, 4); wherein said card-formed carrier body stores in said third area identification information indicating said device in the user's side subjected to addition and an amount of added money as historical information (col. 7, lines 21-65, Figs. 10A-10B). Cordonnier ("Smart cards: present and future applications and techniques", *Electronics & Communication Engineering Journal*, October, 1991) teaches the use of various components in smart card and integrated circuit card technology (Cordonnier, pg. 208, col. 3, pg. 209, cols. 1-2) which substantiates the modification to the system of Yoshida. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the storing of information as taught by Lessin et al. with the system of Yoshida in view of Read.

Art Unit: 2761

6. Claims 5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshida (4,736,094) in view of Read (EFTPOS: electronic funds transfer at point of sale, *Electronics & Communication Engineering Journal*, November/December, 1989) in further view of Cordonnier ("Smart cards: present and future applications and techniques", *Electronics & Communication Engineering Journal*, October, 1991).

As to **claim 5**:

Yoshida discloses an electronic purse system (financial transaction processing system, col. 2, lines 60-68) having a double-structured purse (col. 6, lines 12-58, Figs. 1, 4); wherein said card-formed carrier body skips, when said device in the user's side demands payment without specifying any area in said first non-volatile memory (col. 6, lines 12-27, Fig. 1), the processing for the transferred information from said device in the user's side, and allows transaction for subtracting information on the specified amount of money from said second deposited amount (col. 3, lines 4-59). However, Yoshida does not specifically use ciphering and deciphering.

Ciphering and deciphering of information is the equivalent as encrypting and decrypting data.

Read discloses a means for encrypting data to be transmitted, and means for decrypting encrypted data which is received, thereby preventing interception or interference (encryption, decryption, Figs. 9-11, pg. 268, cols. 2-3, pg. 268, cols. 1-3, pg. 270, col. 1, ¶1). Cordonnier ("Smart cards: present and future applications and techniques", *Electronics & Communication Engineering Journal*, October, 1991) teaches the use of encryption in the integrated circuit card technology (Cordonnier, pg. 207, cols. 1-2) for the purpose of security during data transfer. Therefore, it

Art Unit: 2761

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the encryption of Read in the system of Yoshida.

As to **claim 11**:

Yoshida discloses a transaction apparatus for executing transaction with an IC card (integrated circuit card, col. 2, lines 60-68) having a first purse and a second purse (col. 6, lines 51-58, Fig. 4); wherein said transaction apparatus executes transaction making use of the amount of money stored in said second purse, when a demand for payment is received based on specification of said first purse, if it is turned out, after the demand for payment is authorized according to the code number, that the amount of money stored in said first purse is less than the amount of money required for the transaction (col. 3, lines 35-68, col. 4, lines 1-32). However, Yoshida does not disclose ciphered information. Ciphering and deciphering of information is the equivalent as encrypting and decrypting data. Read discloses a means for encrypting data to be transmitted, and means for decrypting encrypted data which is received, thereby preventing interception or interference (encryption, decryption, Figs. 9-11, pg. 268, cols. 2-3, pg. 268, cols. 1-3, pg. 270, col. 1, ¶1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the encryption of Read in the system of Yoshida as Cordonnier ("Smart cards: present and future applications and techniques", *Electronics & Communication Engineering Journal*, October, 1991) teaches the use of encryption in the integrated circuit card technology (Cordonnier, pg. 207, cols. 1-2).

Art Unit: 2761

7. Claims 6-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshida (4,736,094) in view of Lessin et al. (4,868,376) in further view of Cordonnier ("Smart cards: present and future applications and techniques", *Electronics & Communication Engineering Journal*, October, 1991).

As to **claim 6**:

Yoshida discloses an IC card (integrated circuit card, col. 2, lines 60-68) applicable to an electronic purse system (financial transaction processing system, col. 2, lines 60-68) having a double-structured purse (col. 6, lines 12-58, Figs. 1, 4) comprising: a memory (col. 6, lines 12-27, Fig. 1) in which a first purse with a first amount of money stored therein, a second purse with a second amount of money stored therein (col. 6, lines 51-58, Fig. 4), communicating means for executing communications with external devices (col. 6, lines 12-37, col. 7, lines 65-68, col. 8, lines 1-41, Figs. 1-2); wherein according to said payment processing program, said processor takes a step of; distinguishing said external device communicating between first type of transaction using only said second purse being allowed and second type of transaction using both of said first and said second purse being allowed (Figs. 8B-9B, col. 3, lines 35-59); said external device being allowed to proceed said second type of transaction during the payment transaction (Figs. 8B-9B, col. 3, lines 35-59); and executing payment processing program without ciphering communication data for said external device being allowed to proceed said first type of the transaction (col. 3, lines 35-68, col. 4, lines 1-32). However, Yoshida does not disclose the use of a payment processing program on the IC card or the use of a cipher program. Lessin et al.

Art Unit: 2761

discloses a payment processing program (Lessin, col. 3, lines 36-56) and cipher program (Lessin, encryption, col. 4, lines 24-53); processor for executing said payment processing program (Lessin, col. 3, lines 36-56) and cipher program (Lessin, encryption, col. 4, lines 24-53); executing payment processing program with ciphering at least a part of communication data (Lessin, col. 3, lines 36-56, encryption, col. 4, lines 24-53). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the storing of information as disclosed by Lessin et al. with the system of Yoshida as Cordonnier ("Smart cards: present and future applications and techniques", *Electronics & Communication Engineering Journal*, October, 1991), teaches the use of various components in smart card and integrated circuit card technology (Cordonnier, pg. 208, col. 3, pg. 209, cols. 1-2).

As to **claim 7**:

Yoshida discloses an IC card (integrated circuit card, col. 2, lines 60-68) applicable to an electronic purse system (financial transaction processing system, col. 2, lines 60-68) having double-structured purse (col. 6, lines 12-58, Figs. 1, 4), further comprising: said memory (col. 6, lines 12-27, Fig. 1) storing key information in a read protection area protected to read from said external device (col. 4, lines 28-32, Figs. 3-4). However, Yoshida does not disclose the use of a cipher program. Lessin et al. discloses a cipher program which is commonly embodied in the authorized external devices for the electric money transaction (Lessin, encryption, col. 3, lines 36-56, col. 4, lines 24-53); and said cipher program ciphering the transaction data using said key

Art Unit: 2761

information stored said memory (Lessin, encryption, col. 3, lines 36-56, col. 4, lines 24-53). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the storing of information as disclosed by Lessin et al. with the system of Yoshida as Cordonnier ("Smart cards: present and future applications and techniques", *Electronics & Communication Engineering Journal*, October, 1991), teaches the use of various components in smart card and integrated circuit card technology (Cordonnier, pg. 208, col. 3, pg. 209, cols. 1-2).

As to **claim 9**:

Yoshida discloses an IC card (integrated circuit card, col. 2, lines 60-68) applicable to an electronic purse system (financial transaction processing system, col. 2, lines 60-68) having a double-structured purse (col. 6, lines 12-58, Figs. 1, 4) comprising: a memory (col. 6, lines 12-27, Fig. 1) in which a first purse with a first amount of money stored therein, a second purse with a second amount of money stored therein (col. 6, lines 51-58, Fig. 4), a communicating unit for executing communications with external devices (col. 6, lines 12-37, col. 7, lines 65-68, col. 8, lines 1-41, Figs. 1-2); and an interface for managing interface with external transaction devices (col. 3, lines 12-59); wherein said payment program executes the payment processing according to the second amount of money stored in said second purse when a payment command not based on specification of the first purse is received via said interface from an external device, and communicates with the external device and executes the payment processing to said external device according to the amount of money stored in said first purse when a payment command

Art Unit: 2761

based on specification of said first purse is received (col. 3, lines 35-68, col. 4, lines 1-32). However, Yoshida does not disclose the use of a cipher program in relation to the payment processing system. Lessin et al. discloses a ciphering/de-ciphering program (Lessin, encryption, col. 3, lines 36-56, col. 4, lines 24-53) and a payment processing system with a processor for executing payment processing according to the payment processing program stored in said memory (Lessin, col. 3, lines 36-56, col. 4, lines 24-53). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the processing and ciphering as disclosed by Lessin et al. with the system of Yoshida as Cordonnier ("Smart cards: present and future applications and techniques", *Electronics & Communication Engineering Journal*, October, 1991), teaches the use of various components in smart card and integrated circuit card technology (Cordonnier, pg. 208, col. 3, pg. 209, cols. 1-2).

Art Unit: 2761

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2761

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the Examiner should be directed to Will McCarty whose telephone number is (703) 305-0625.

10. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 305-0040 (for informal or draft communications, please label
"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA.,

Sixth Floor (Receptionist).



Will McCarty
November 8, 1999



EDWARD R. COSIMANO
PRIMARY EXAMINER